## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT AT HARRISONBURG, VA FILED

March 06, 2025

LAURA A. AUSTIN, CLERK
BY: s/J.Vasquez

JULLIAN A. HUFFMAN,	) DEPUTY CLERK
Plaintiff,	) Case No. 7:24CV00493
v.	)
NURSE PRACITIONER CRYSTAL LARGE, ET AL.,	) ) )
Defendants.	) )
JULLIAN A. HUFFMAN,	)
Plaintiff,	) Case No. 7:24CV00595
v.	) OPINION AND ORDER
JILL PEARCE, ET AL.,	) JUDGE JAMES P. JONES
Defendants.	) ) )

Jullian A. Huffman, Pro Se Plaintiff; and Taylor D. Brewer, MORAN REEVES CONN, Richmond, Virginia, for Defendants.

The plaintiff, a Virginia inmate proceeding pro se, filed these two civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that the defendants violated his constitutional rights by denying him treatment for gender dysphoria. By Order entered January 14, 2025, the Court consolidated the cases, with No. 7:25CV00493 as the lead case for future filings. Thereafter, the defendants filed a Motion to

Document 22 Filed 03/06/25

Dismiss both cases. The Court mailed a Notice advising Huffman that before ruling

on the Motion to Dismiss, the Court would give him twenty-one days to submit any

further counter-affidavits or other relevant evidence contradicting, explaining or

avoiding the defendants' argument and/or evidence. The Notice warned Huffman

that if he did not respond to the motion, the Court would assume that he had lost

interest in the cases or that he agrees with the defendants' arguments for dismissal.

The Notice advised Huffman that if he wished to continue with the case, it was

"necessary that [he] respond in an appropriate fashion," or the Court might "dismiss

the case for failure to prosecute." Notice, ECF No. 28.

The record reflects that Huffman's response to the defendants' Motion to

Dismiss was due to be filed on or before February 28, 2025. That deadline has come

and gone, with no response or other communication from Huffman. Therefore, I

conclude that dismissal of the action, the consequence of which the court's Notice

warned Huffman, is warranted. For the reasons stated, it is hereby **ORDERED** that

these consolidated actions are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE, based on the

plaintiff's failure to prosecute, by failing to respond to the defendants' dispositive

motion by the deadline imposed by the court; and the Clerk shall close the case.

ENTER: March 6, 2025

/s/ JAMES P. JONES

Senior United States District Judge

-2-